

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: Redetermination of A303 Stonehenge scheme
Date: 07 March 2022 15:57:20

i am not sure these new proposals solve the problem. the jr lead to a quashed permission, in part because of the impact of hmg's proposed scheme on the world heritage site. there seem three options:

- 1.push through the hmg scheme and lose world heritage status;
- 2.divert extensively south of the world heritage site;
- 3.extend the tunnel as suggested by the stonehenge alliance.

surely the time has come to put politics to one side, and accept that the long tunnel is the cheapest, most efficient, and least damaging option, across the generations and the range of sustainability measures?

jack [REDACTED]